arXiv:1403.5522v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 21 Mar 2014

Extensive limit of a non-extensive entanglement entropy

NIKOS KALOGEROPOULOS *

Weill Cornell Medical College in Qatar
Education City, P.O. Box 24144
Doha, Qatar

Abstract

An important calculation has been that of the (von Neumann) entanglement entropy of the ground state of 1-dimensional lattice models at criticality and of their massive perturbations. This entropy turned out to be, generally, non-extensive. It was noticed, by contrast, that the Tsallis entropy of such systems can be extensive for a particular value of the non-extensive parameter. This value was expressed as function of the central charge of the underlying conformal field theory. We provide an answer to the question on why for central charge approaching infinity, extensivity of the von Neumann entropy of the ground state is restored.

PACS: 03.65.Ud, 03.67.Mn, 05.70.Jk, 05.90.+m, 11.25.Hf
Keywords: von Neumann entropy, Nonextensive entropy, Entanglement, Calabrese-Cardy formulae.

* E-mail: nik2011@qatar-med.cornell.edu

1. Introduction

The entanglement entropy has attracted considerable attention in the literature during the last thirty years [1]-[4]. One reason is that it may be able to elucidate the statistical origin of black hole entropy [4] which has been an intriguing question since its discovery. As computations of such an entropy are usually quite difficult to perform in realistic systems, one is motivated to attempt them for simplified, toy-models, as is usually done in Physics. Such models when carefully chosen, are believed to encompass the essential features of more realistic systems [1]-[12].

Quite frequently, such paradigmatic systems are low-dimensional lattice models. Such models have the advantage of an already built-in spatial regulator, in the form of the lattice spacing, which allows us to avoid initially at least, thorny issues related to divergent contributions of the ultra-violet modes. Although such lattice models are obviously not Lorentz-invariant, their scale-invariant behaviour at their critical point allows them to be analyzed by methods that can be formally seen as the Wick-rotated (Euclidean) analogues of the analysis performed on Lorentz-invariant models.

In a well-known work [9], the (entanglement) von Neumann entropy of the ground state of 1-dimensional lattice models, at criticality, was calculated. The computation used a combination of a replica-method approach, functional integrals and operator product expansions in 2-dimensional conformal field theories on the n-sheeted complex plane with branch cuts. These results were extended for systems away from criticality [9] by looking at them as massive deformations of the corresponding massless systems described by the conformal field theories. Despite a shortcoming of these calculations already pointed out by the authors themselves [9], it was found, among other things, that the von Neumann entropy of the ground state of such systems is, at appropriate limits, not extensive as a function of the system size and/or the correlation and thermal wavelengths.

In an attempt to further examine the extensivity of this entanglement entropy, [13], [14] used the Tsallis entropy analogue of the von Neumann entropy for systems at criticaility, the latter of which relies on the Boltzmann/Gibbs/Shannon entropic form. The authors of [13], [14] used some of the intermediate results of [9], relied on the applicability of such results under analytic continuation to non-integer replica power and demanded extensivity of entropy in terms of the system size. They found [13] that the Tsallis entropy can be extensive for a value of the non-extensive parameter q given by

$$q_{ent} = \frac{\sqrt{9+c^2}-3}{c} \tag{1}$$

where c is the central charge of the underlying conformal field theory. A question that was asked

then [13], [14] was to understand why

$$\lim_{c\to\infty} q_{ent} = 1 \tag{2}$$

namely why does the usual von Neumann entropy of the ground state become extensive in terms of the system size, in the limit of an infinite central charge conformal field theory [15]. In the present work we address this question. We use mainly the conformal field theory (world-sheet) view, but also present a comment about the string theoretical (sigma model) interpretation [16] of this conclusion.

2. Some background and the question of interest

Consider [1] - [12] a system which is in a pure quantum state $|\Psi\rangle$. Let the corresponding density matrix be indicated by $\rho = |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|$. Divide the system in two parts labelled by A and B. The reduced density matrix ρ_A of A is found by tracing ρ over part B, namely

$$\rho_A \;=\; tr_B\ \rho \tag{3}$$

The entanglement entropy is the von Neumann entropy

$$S_A[\rho_A] \;=\; -\ tr_A\ \rho_A \log \rho_A \tag{4}$$

One could interchange the roles of parts A and B in the above definitions and would get $S_A = S_B$, therefore it makes sense to speak of the entanglement entropy of the system made up of parts A and B without further qualification.

It should be noted right away that the entanglement entropy is based on the classical Boltzmann/Gibbs/Shannon entropic form which for s discrete set of outcomes $i \in I$ with corresponding probabilities p_i is given by

$$S_{BGS}[\{p_i\}] \;=\; -\sum_{i\in I}\ p_i \log p_i \tag{5}$$

More recently the Havrda-Charvát [17], Daróczy [18], Cressie-Read [19], [20], Tsallis [21], [22] (henceforth called just "Tsallis" for brevity) entropy has attracted some attention [22]. This is a one-parameter family of functionals labelled by $q \in \mathbb{R}$, called non-extensive or entropic parameter, which is given by [21]

$$S_q[\{p_i\}] \;=\; \frac{1}{q-1}\left(1 - \sum_{i\in I} p_i^q\right) \tag{6}$$

We use units where the Boltzmann constant is set equal to one throughout this work. Clearly

$$\lim_{q\to 1}\ S_q \;=\; S_{BGS} \tag{7}$$

An immediate extension of the von Neumann entropy to the Tsallis-inspired entanglement entropy would be

$$S_q[\rho_A] \;=\; \frac{1}{q-1}\,(1 - tr_A\ \rho_A^q) \tag{8}$$

It appears, by comparing (4) and (8), that calculating S_q is technically harder than calculating S_{BGS}. This is probably true but only because the systems that the Tsallis entropy conjecturally describes are more "complex" than the ones that S_{BGS} describes [22]. Obviously one has to qualify the word "complex"; we use it loosely to refer to systems that are out of equilibrium or that possess long-range spatial and temporal correlations, non-ergodic behaviour etc. The statistical behaviour of such systems is currently less understood than that of systems at equilibrium, with short-range correlations, exhibiting ergodic behaviour in phase space etc.

Suppose, however, that one is uncertain on whether to use S_{BGS} of S_q for a particular system. Then the calculation of either of these entropies may be equally difficult, depending on the method of calculation used. This was true of the cases discussed in [9], [10] and about the way they went about their derivations, which is very strongly reminiscent of the replica method extensively employed in statistical systems with disorder. The authors considered a one-dimensional system on a lattice [9] - [11], with lattice spacing a. Such a distance is a very convenient regulator for providing a natural cut-off for the high frequency (ultraviolet) modes that may make the entropy diverge. Let L be the spatial extent of the part of interest of the lattice system. The rest of the lattice system can be finite, semi-infinite of infinite. Time t is assumed to be continuous. This, in turn, gave rise to thermal states upon its compactification to a circle of circumference $\beta = T^{-1}$ that can be formally seen as a Wick rotation to imaginary time $\tau = it$ providing the transition from indefinite (Lorentzian) to positive definite (Euclidean) signature of the $1 + 1$ dimensional space-time metric [9], [10]. Let $\mathcal{L}_E$ indicate the Lagrangian in the Euclidean space signature and $\mathcal{S}_E$ the corresponding action

$$\mathcal{S}_E \;=\; \int_0^t \mathcal{L}_E\ dt \tag{9}$$

Assume that the system has Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$, corresponding Hamiltonian operator $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ and let $|\psi(x)\rangle$ indicate the common eigenvectors and $\psi(x)$ the corresponding eigenvalues of a complete set of commuting operators at x. Consider the partition function

$$\mathcal{Z} \;=\; tr\ e^{-\beta\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \tag{10}$$

The density matrix at inverse temperature β is then formally given by the path-integral between initial *in* and final *out* locations [9]

$$\rho \;=\; \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \int [d\psi(x,\tau)] \prod_x \delta(\psi(x,0) - \psi_{in}(x_{in})) \prod_x \delta(\psi(x,\beta) - \psi_{out}(x_{out}))\ \ e^{-S_E} \tag{11}$$

As mentioned above, the time variable is compactified to a circle. One applies periodic boundary conditions on this circle to all the (bosonic) operators involved. This identification, in effect, sews the two discrete edges of the rectangle on which the path integral is defined transforming it into a cylinder of circumference β in the imaginary time direction [9] - [11].

Consider as subsystem A the union of the j disjoint intervals $A = (x_1, x_2) \cup \ldots \cup (x_{2j-1}, x_{2j})$. Then determining the reduced density matrix ρ_A of A amounts to performing the functional integral (11) on the cylinder but the integration should not be over these j intervals, as indicated by (3). In effect, this means that the cylinder over which the path-integral is performed has to have cuts in the location of these intervals in the spatial direction at $\tau = 0$. Calabrese and Cardy [9], [10] proceed to compute the trace of integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ powers of ρ_A. They notice that this amounts to performing the path-integral over an n-fold cover of the cylinder where periodic boundary conditions outside the cuts are imposed between consecutive sheets on the operators whose eigenvectors $|\psi\rangle$ appear in the path-integral. The operator content of the beginning of the first and the end of the last sheet should also be identified in this picture [9], [10]. Let the corresponding partition function be indicated by $\mathcal{Z}_n(A)$. Then

$$tr\ \rho_A^n \ = \ \frac{\mathcal{Z}_n(A)}{\mathcal{Z}^n} \tag{12}$$

To determine the von Neumann (entanglement) entropy (4), one uses a formal analytic continuation to $n \in \mathbb{R}_+$ giving

$$S_A[\rho_A] \ = \ -\lim_{n\to 1} \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\ tr\ \rho_A^n \tag{13}$$

which after using (12), gives

$$S_A[\rho_A] \ = \ -\lim_{n\to 1} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \frac{\mathcal{Z}_n(A)}{\mathcal{Z}^n} \tag{14}$$

One immediately notices that to determine S_A this method first calculates $tr\rho_A^n$ which is exactly what is required to compute the right-hand-side of (8), therefore the Tsallis entropy $S_q[\rho_A]$. Hence due to the method followed, computation of the Tsallis entropy (8) is already part of the calculation of the von Neumann entropy (13).

Carrying out the path integrals in (11) is clearly a daunting task, even for lattice models on the line. To simplify is willing to consider systems at their critical point. Then, the scaling hypothesis assumes and the renormalization group approach proves, the scale invariance of physical quantities (properly re-scaled) at the critical point. Since the correlation length diverges at criticality, the only remaining system parameter through which extensivity can be expressed is that set by tthe length L of the sub-system of interest. We assume, for simplicity, that the spatial extent of the whole lattice system is infinite, but substantially similar results are reached in the case of finite systems [9], [10]. At criticality, the lattice spacing a becomes inconsequential, therefore

the underlying structure can be treated as a continuous space, namely as a Riemann surface (the complex plane in our case). The underlying symmetry of the system is enhanced from that of, at best, the semi-direct product of a discrete translation at constant time and that of a continuous translation along the time axis, to that of the conformal invariance on the n-fold Riemannian surface with branch cuts along the indicated lines. Conformal invariance in two dimensions is an extremely strong condition because this is the only dimension in which the conformal group is infinite dimensional [15]. As result of Noether's theorem, conformal invariance provides an infinite number of conserved currents, which allow one to even get explicit solutions in some cases, for correlation functions and any other quantities of physical interest [15].

Due to the above, consider an interval of consecutive spins of length L in the infinitely long 1-dimensional lattice system, which is assumed to be at its critical point at $T = 0$. Then the computation of the entanglement entropy for a conformal field theory of central charge c gives [9], [10], that

$$tr\ \rho_A^n \ \sim \ \left(\frac{L}{a}\right)^{-\frac{c}{6}(n-\frac{1}{n})} \tag{15}$$

Similar expressions have also been derived for the cases of finite temperature and for systems out of criticaility, the major difference being the exact value of the denominator of the central charge in the exponent of (15). So, we will use (15) as the paradigmatic case since the other ones are, admittedly highly non-trivial but still, variations of this one. Then [13] analytically continues this expression from $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to $q_{ent} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and asks for the value of such q_{ent} so that the corresponding expression is extensive, namely $S_{q_{ent}} \sim L$. This amounts to setting the exponent of (15)

$$\frac{c}{6}\left(q_{ent} - \frac{1}{q_{ent}}\right) \ = \ -1 \tag{16}$$

which gives (1). Given (16), one observes that (2) holds. This states that the entanglement entropy of the ground state of the lattice system becomes extensive for exactly the von Neumann functional form (4) for $c \to \infty$. So even though the Tsallis entropy (8) is extensive for the particular value of q_{ent} given in (1) for any finite c, in the infinite central charge limit (2) one recovers the extensivity of the von Neumann/BGS functional form (4) . The question that was posed in [13], [14] was to explain this restoration of extensivity of (4), expressed through (1) and (2), for $c \to \infty$.

3. A proposed answer

To address this question, we will be far less general in our considerations. We will assume, for instance, that $c \to \infty$ by taking integer values. This amounts to considering only the integer

valued sub-sequences of all possible sequences of the central charge c diverging to infinity. We do this, as some underlying features are simplified and this approach also allows for a "stringy" (sigma model) interpretation of the final results. The action (9) of a massless free boson, in a Wick-rotated (positive) signature metric, is

$$\mathcal{S}_E \;=\; \frac{1}{4\pi\kappa}\,\int_\Sigma\, g^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\alpha X)(\partial_\beta X)\;d\sigma \tag{17}$$

where we are a bit general and indicate by $g_{\alpha\beta}$ the components of the metric tensor on the Riemann surface Σ and where $d\sigma$ indicates the Riemannian area element of Σ. The normalisation constant κ is usually set to be one. In complex coordinates $z,\ \bar{z}$ this can be re-expressed as

$$\mathcal{S}_E \;=\; \frac{1}{4\pi\kappa}\,\int_\Sigma\, (\partial X)(\bar{\partial} X)\;dz\;d\bar{z} \tag{18}$$

with $\partial \equiv \partial_z$ and $\bar{\partial} \equiv \partial_{\bar{z}}$. The (Hilbert) stress-energy tensor has non-vanishing components

$$T_{zz} \;\sim\; \partial X\;\partial X, \qquad\qquad T_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} \;\sim\; \bar{\partial} X\;\bar{\partial} X \tag{19}$$

with $T_{z\bar{z}} = 0$. It turns out that T fails to be a primary (tensor) field in the quantum theory, since it acquires a Schwinger term, expressing the breaking of conformal invariance at the quantum level. This conformal invariance violation at the quantum level is quantified by the central charge c of the conformal field theory [15]. Alternatively, on can look at the leading singularity in its operator product expansion

$$T(z)T(w) \;\sim\; \frac{1/2}{(z-w)^4} + \ldots \tag{20}$$

which gives that $c = 1$ for the free boson, as is very well known [15]. Therefore the limit $c \to \infty$ effectively corresponds to putting an infinite number of free bosons on the Riemann surface.

The Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ of the free boson theory is built by applying the Virasoro operators $L_{-k},\ k > 1$ to the vacuum, which is a highest weight state in a Verma module $\mathcal{V}$ ("representation") of the Virasoro algebra. Such a Verma module $\mathcal{V}$ is labelled by the central charge c of the conformal field theory and by the (conformal) dimension of its highest weight state h. Consider $\mathcal{N}$ free (non-interacting) bosons on Σ. We are interested in $\Sigma = \mathbb{C}$ with branch cuts. Then $c_{tot} \to \infty$ amounts to $\mathcal{N} \to \infty$, since for a free boson $c = 1$. Hence the Hilbert space of $\mathcal{N}$ free bosons on Σ is the tensor product $\mathscr{H}^{\mathcal{N}}$. More generally one has

$$\mathscr{H} \;=\; \sum_{h,\bar{h}}\; \mathcal{V}_{c,h} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\bar{c},\bar{h}} \tag{21}$$

where the summation extends to both the chiral/holomorphic and the anti-chiral/anti-holomorphic sectors. From (21), to compute the entanglement entropy of even the ground state one needs an enumeration of all states of $\mathcal{V}$. It is straightforward to check that at each level N, the number

of such states in the chiral/holomorphic sector, is given by the number of partitions $\mathcal{P}(N)$. The number of such partitions $\mathcal{P}(N)$ is given, for large N, by the Hardy-Ramanujan asymptotic formula

$$\mathcal{P}(N) \sim \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}\ N} \exp\left(\pi \sqrt{\frac{2N}{3}}\right) \tag{22}$$

We observe that $\mathcal{P}(N)$ is (almost) an exponential function of the level N. We can know consider $\mathcal{P}(N)$ for different values of N, which are succinctly, collectively encoded in the generating function

$$\mathcal{F}(s) = \prod_{M=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-s^M)} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}(N)\ s^N \tag{23}$$

Combining the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic sectors gives the total generating (modular) function

$$\mathcal{F}(s,\bar{s}) = \prod_{M=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-s^M)(1-\bar{s}^M)} \tag{24}$$

In more geometric terms, (23), (24) amount to stating that the configuration or phase space volume of the system, which in our case is $\mathscr{H}^{\mathcal{N}}$, grows exponentially as a function of its effective number $\mathcal{N}$ of degrees of freedom. This is a feature of systems whose collective behaviour is given by the BGS entropic functionals (4), (5) as indicated by [23] and clarified in far greater generality in [24]. By contrast, the Tsalis entropy appears to be associated with systems whose configuration or phase space volume grows in a power-law (polynomial) manner with respect to the number of their effective degrees of freedom [23], [24]. Given this realisation, the emergence of the BGS functional as a result of (1) and (2) by imposing extensivity of the entanglement entropy (8) as a function of the system size may not be all that surprising.

A complementary side of the above argument, which can be used to shed some additional light to it, is understanding why systems with finite c_{tot}, namely with finite $\mathcal{N}$, cannot be described by the von Neumann entropy (4), if extensivity of this entropy is required. This can be understood by using (22). We observe that for finite $\mathcal{N}$ the maximum number of available states increases sub-exponentially with the level N. As a result, there can not be exponential growth of the configuration or phase space volume of the system as a function of $\mathcal{N}$. Effectively, the system has already some built-in correlations that do not allow an exponential growth rate of the system's phase space volume. Hence (4) is not suitable for describing the behaviour of the system and at the same time maintaining its extensivity [24]. This can also be seen by the form of the von Neumann entropy, which is

$$S_A \sim \frac{c}{3} \log \frac{L}{a} + const. \tag{25}$$

where $const$ stands for a non-universal constant. The effective length of the system is actually $\log L$ rather than having the apparent value L in this calculation. This a result is stemming

from the fact that not all of the allowed states of $\mathscr{H}$ actually contribute to the entropy. In other words, the number of effective degrees of freedom of the system is less than what it superficially appears to be. Only in the limit $\mathcal{N} \to \infty$ all such states can contribute to the entropy thus allowing the system to be described through (4). This argument becomes a bit more concrete and transparent in the sigma model interpretation given in the last two paragraphs of this section.

We can ask if one can reach the same conclusion by looking at the finite temperature version of the above calculation [9] - [11]. As it was pointed out previously, the method of computation is similar to the zero temperature case and gives the following result [9] for the von Neumann entropy of the system at temperature β^{-1}

$$S_A \sim \frac{c}{3} \log \left(\frac{\beta}{\pi a} \sinh \frac{\pi L}{\beta} \right) + const' \tag{26}$$

We observe that (26) is extensive in the limit $L \gg \beta$. When, however, $L \ll \beta$ then we reach the same result (25), as in the zero-temperature case. This can be interpreted to mean that if the thermal wavelength, which for massless particles is proportional to β, is much larger than the sample size, then the von Neumann entropy is extensive. In other words, in the ultraviolet, where many energy modes of the system are accessible, (4) is extensive as pointed out in the previous paragraph. Here some care should be exercised though, for systems out of criticality, as in the ultraviolet the lattice spacing becomes progressively more important the more someone perturbs the underlying conformal field theory. But this does not affect the final result in the limit $\mathcal{N} \to \infty$. By contrast, in the infra-red fewer of the existing states of the system can be occupied, something that brings a severe restriction in the accessible volume of the phase space, which (as was previously pointed out) can only grow in a power-law manner [23], [24]. Then the Tsallis entropy (8), but not the von Neumann entropy (4), is extensive. In a similar manner as above, if one considers an infinite number of free bosons, then there are enough (infinite) low-lying states that can be occupied even for $L \ll \beta$. As a result, there are no constraints to the accessible phase space of the system whose volume can grow as fast as that of a gas of the free bosonic modes, namely exponentially as a function of $\mathcal{N}$, a situation well-described by the BGS entropy.

From a sigma model viewpoint, the number of independent bosonic degrees of freedom on Σ can interpreted as the dimension of space-time on which the Riemann surface Σ is embedded. So, the calculation of the entanglement entropy really depends on the number of oscillatory string states, where the string is relativistic and embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{N}}$ space-time, for $\mathcal{N} \to \infty$. There are $\mathcal{N} - 2$ directions of oscillation of the string in such a space-time, since the time-like and the longitudinal modes are excluded as unphysical/gauge dependent choices. The number of states $\mathcal{P}(N)$ at level N that we seek, is the number of partitions of such N allowing for $\mathcal{N} - 2$ polarisation directions. This can easily be seen in the context of canonical quantisation

of the oscillatory modes of the string. This number of partitions $\mathcal{P}(N)$ admits the asymptotic approximation

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}(N) \sim \left(\frac{\mathcal{N}-2}{24}\right)^{\frac{\mathcal{N}-1}{4}} N^{-\frac{\mathcal{N}+1}{4}} \exp\left(2\pi\sqrt{\frac{N(\mathcal{N}-2)}{6}}\right) \tag{27}$$

We observe that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}(N)$ increases super-exponentially in terms of $\mathcal{N}$, so it becomes very fast the dominant term in (27). As a result of this super-exponential growth rate, the BGS entropy should be applicable in the $\mathcal{N} \to \infty$ limit, rather than the Tsallis entropy [24], as also noted before. Upon a more careful investigation, it can be noticed that the underlying space-time is actually an orbifold having conical singularities induced by the branch cuts of the world- sheet, rather than the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{N}}$. Such identifications as the ones resulting in orbifolds with conical singularities affect the number of accessible states, giving a number smaller than that of (27). However , given that such orbifold identifications can be traced back to non-free, properly discontinuous discrete group actions which do not affect the asymptotic behaviour of (27) as a function of $\mathcal{N}$. Therefore, the above conclusions remain unaltered by considering such space-time orbifolds instead of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{N}}$.

Instead of relying on a set of free bosons, one could also take $c_{tot} \to \infty$ by considering fermions or even any of the parafermionic models whose central charges are expressed by the unitary minimal series [15]. We expect that the results would be largely the same as the distinction between bosons and fermions in two dimensions is not as sharp in higher dimensions. This allows for the well-known bosonization procedure. What we would lose however by considering such anyonic conformal field theories would be the space-time (sigma model) "stringy" interpretation of the argument of the above paragraph, which we find intuitively appealing and useful in elucidating some of our conclusions.

4. Conclusion

In this work, we addressed a question posed in [13], [14] about the recovery of extensivity of the von Neumann entanglement entropy of the ground state of one dimensional lattice systems at their critical point at zero and non-zero temperatures. We relied on the results of [9]-[11] which used methods of conformal field theories to arrive at the sought after formulae in terms of the central charge. We also used in a substantial way the results of [23], [24] regarding the relation of Tsallis entropy to the rate of growth of the volume of phase space. We proposed an answer both in terms of the conformal field theory when it is a set of non-interacting bosons, something that also allows for a "stringy" (sigma model) interpretation of the results.

References

[1] R.D. Sorkin, in *Tenth International Conference on General Relativity and Gravitation, Contributed Papers, Vol. II*, p. 734 (1983). arXiv:

[2] L. Bombelli, R.K. Koul, J.-H. Lee, R.D. Sorkin, *Phys. Rev. D* **34**, 373 (1986).

[3] M. Srednicki, *Rhys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 666 (1993).

[4] S.N. Solodukhin, *Liv. Rev. Rel.* **14**, 8 (2011).

[5] J. Cardy, I. Peschel, *Nucl. Phys. B* **300**, 377 (1988).

[6] C. Holzhey, F. Larsen, F. Wilczek, *Nucl. Phys. B* **424**, 44 (1994).

[7] V. Korepin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 096402 (2004).

[8] H. Casini, M. Huerta, *Phys. Lett. B* **600**, 142 (2004).

[9] P. Calabrese, J. Cardy, *J. Stat. Mech.* **0406**, P06002 (2004).

[10] P. Calabrese, J. Cardy, *J. Stat. Mech.* **0504**, P04010 (2005).

[11] P. Calabrese, J. Cardy, *Int. J. Quantum Inform* **04**, 429 (2006).

[12] H. Casini, M. Huerta *JHEP* **11**, 087 (2012).

[13] F. Caruso, C. Tsallis *Phys. Rev. E* **78**, 021102 (2008).

[14] C. Tsallis, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **40**, 257 (2009).

[15] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu, D. Sénéchal, *Conformal Field Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1997).

[16] J. Polchinski, *String Theory, Vol. 1*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK (1998).

[17] J. Havrda, F. Charvát, *Kybernetica* **3**, 30 (1967).

[18] Z. Daróczy, *Inf. Comp. / Inf. Contr.* **16**, 36 (1970).

[19] N.A. Cressie, T.R. Read, *J. Roy. Stat. Soc. B* **46**, 440 (1984).

[20] T.R. Read, N.A. Cressie, *Goodness of Fit Statistics for Discrete Multivariate Data*, Springer, New York (1988).

[21] C. Tsallis, *J. Stat. Phys.* **52**, 479 (1988).

[22] C. Tsallis, *Introduction to Nonextensive Statistical Mechanics: Approaching a Complex World*, Springer, New York (2009).

[23] C. Tsallis, M. Gell-Mann, Y. Sato, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **102**, 15377 (2005).

[24] R. Hanel, S. Thurner, *Europhys. Lett.* **96**, 50003 (2011).